who the end user is or where it originated, and if the -- also, if the -- if it was originated from a ported local number on the other end and the LRN, the local routing number, the location routing number, is not populated, we would not be able to look it up.

As a matter of fact, we would do a lookup on the first six digits, so we would look up the NPA and NNX of the originating number, and we would identify the donor switch, which would be the company that had ported out the number.

So those are some instances where we wouldn't be able to identify the originating carrier.

MR. KOERNER: Do you tend to bill the originating carrier when you know who it is or do you bill through the next immediate upstream?

MR. SMITH: No, the person that is terminating the call to us is the party that we would be looking for, for the access, because again, it's coming in from an interexchange carrier. We're assuming that interexchange carrier that's

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

~	adiliting to to ab ib the party that is billing the
2	end user. So we would assess the charge to them.
3	MS. SHETLER: And you can always identify
4	the interexchange carrier that delivers it?
5	MR. SMITH: Yes, by the trunk route it's
6	coming over.
7	MR. KOERNER: Regardless of whether they
8	have a CIC code
9	MR. SMITH: If it's an interexchange
10	carrier, there is a CIC code associated with the
11	trunk group coming in. With wireless carriers and
12	other CLECs, sometimes there is not a CIC code,
13	because they were not assigned CIC codes initially;
14	only interexchange carriers that purchased feature
15	group D service had CIC codes.
16	MR. KOERNER: Could you explain what this
17	jurisdictional information parameter number is, the
18	JIP code, is that something else that would be
19	missing?
20	MR. SMITH: JIP is jurisdictional
21	indicator parameter. It is a code that is sometimes
22	used and sometimes not used within the industry, and

1.5

it is not a field on the -- that is approved for use on the EMI record, the exchange message interface record, which is what we exchange with the carriers.

To the extent it is not yet approved, standards don't exist for its use on that EMI record. It's a field Verizon doesn't use, because it's used by carriers intermittently.

MR. KOERNER: Is that the field that you would have to change your billing systems for in order to populate for Cavalier, with regard to the Cavalier-specific --

MR. SMITH: If we were to honor Cavalier's request to do that, we would have to modify our systems to do something that is nonindustry standard, okay, and just specific to Cavalier, or -- which is extremely difficult to do, is to run your systems in two different modes. Or we would have to modify it for everybody, which would mean we would then be doing things that might cause other carriers problems.

MR. KOERNER: Are these other codes all populated fields, the CIC, OCN, CPL and LRN?

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

MR. SMITH: Those are fields that are populated as the information is passed to us. So if we get the calling party number, we pass the calling party number. If we don't get the calling party number, sometimes we get what is called a charge number over the SS7 signaling stream. And when we get -- SS7 stands for signalling system 7.

When we get that over the SS7 stream and we don't get a CPN, we will populate the charge number in the from number field on the EMI record, because it is the best information we have available that has been provided to us by the carrier.

MR. KOERNER: Okay. What is Verizon's view of what information Cavalier needs to render a bill to the originating carrier?

MR. SMITH: One of the key things is either the CIC or the OCN, because that identifies who should be billed. And in virtually all cases and records we have looked at, the CIC or the OCN are populated.

Now, sometimes both are populated so a decision has to be made which one to use. That, you

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

know, can cause -- I can say it might cause confusion, but we would always go with the CIC when it is populated.

MR. KOERNER: If neither of those fields is populated, what do you think Cavalier would need to render its proper bill?

MR. SMITH: I mean, if neither of those fields is populated, I'm not sure that they would be easily able to render a bill to a carrier. But that's what I said, you know, in virtually all cases we've looked at, either the CIC or OCN has been populated.

MR. KOERNER: Okay. And that's the -Cavalier has suggested that 83 percent of calls have
a CIC or an OCN number. Is that -- does that
comport with your understanding?

MR. SMITH: Some of the confusion, I think, is -- when I looked at Cavalier's data, and it was a little confusing, because some of it was pulled from their own switch. What we're doing here is we're providing the records that they should be using for billing, either the meet point billing

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

б

calls, the access calls that we are passing to them, or the tandem transit calls that are transiting our network to get to them.

On those records that we provide over the meet point billing stream, the 11-01-01 records that we've been talking about, on virtually all of those, the OCN or the CIC is populated and would allow them to bill a customer.

Now, what sometimes isn't populated is the jurisdiction of the call, and that is --

MR. KOERNER: Is that the JIP?

MR. SMITH: Not necessarily the JIP.

There are, on the EMI record there is a field, 8 is interstate -- I'd have to go back to my records. Z is unknown. Sometimes Z is populated. And when those are passed, Cavalier has the ability to do a lookup on the originating and terminating number, or if they have the unknown, they can use a factor that they have negotiated with the carrier that is identified by the CIC or OCN. And that's exactly what Verizon does.

The records that we pass to Cavalier are

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

the	same	records	that	we	use	ior	our	bil	lling	to	the
carı	riers	. So we	have	not	ching	g moi	re ti	han	what	we	're
pass	sing t	o Caval	ier o	r to	o any	CLE	EC.				

MR. KOERNER: Would Cavalier need to have a direct relationship with that carrier in order to have a factor for it?

MR. SMITH: They would not need to have a direct interconnection. They would need to have some relationship, to send them a bill. And through meet point, they would have that. I mean --

MR. KOERNER: Okay. What is Verizon's understanding of what the OBF guidelines require Verizon to pass to Cavalier, for instance?

MR. SMITH: There are a very large number of guidelines that are defined in the OBF documents, and I do believe we are following them. I mean, what we're looking at here for that issue 1921 that we were talking about is just a subset of an enormous volume of documentation that tells us how to populate the records, depending on the type of call, originating, terminating, et cetera.

MR. KOERNER: But not this JIP?

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SMITH: JIP is not a field that is required in the EMI guidelines. And not the LRN either? MR. KOERNER: The LRN, the location routing MR. SMITH: number, you have both an originating and terminating. When that information is passed to Verizon, we go ahead and use that and pass that on to Cavalier. If it's not passed to us, then we don't have it and there's not much we can do with it. It's really the originating LRN, I think, that Cavalier is interested in, because the terminating LRN would be Cavalier. Okay. I'm going to ask MR. KOERNER: similar questions of Cavalier. Just generally, do

similar questions of Cavalier. Just generally, do any of you agree with what Mr. Smith just explained about the way the billing works with the upstream carriers? Do you have a different view?

MR. HARABURDA: Yes, in principle, the idea that I have is the same that Mr. Smith talked about, where we want to bill for our termination leg, the same person that he billed for the fee to go across and come into his network. And the issue

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

that we have is finding the right information in the call records, based upon the sources where we're getting the call records, to identify that party.

MR. KOERNER: Okay. Now, if Verizon is populating when it has -- populating the CIC, the OCN, the CPN and LRN fields when they have that information, what more would you expect Verizon to do when they don't have that information?

MR. HARABURDA: When Verizon doesn't have the information to pass, there's nothing else to pass, so the issue is, I'm getting the traffic from a certain point, which is Verizon's network, so why can't I bill it back to Verizon, as opposed to me being a clearinghouse to try to figure out who that traffic belonged to.

MR. KOERNER: Which is what you believe Verizon does, they will bill the next upstream carrier, rather than figuring out who the originating carrier is?

MR. HARABURDA: Correct. And second point is the comments Mr. Smith has made on the EMI standard pertains only to the meet point billing

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

LATIU

tapes. It does not pertain to the switch records we are recording on the end office tapes, to record the local mobile toll traffic, where the standard does not require anybody to pass CIC, therefore ownership is a very hard determination to make off those switch records.

So when CIC and OCN are passed, we're more than happy to follow the same rules that Verizon uses to bill their carriers. But when the information is not there, we have no other recourse but to go back to the person who sent the traffic to us. And I'm sure they would do the same scenario where Pac Bell sends a call to AT&T, sends a call to MCI, Verizon bills MCI for the call and hands it off to them.

MS. NATOLI: So in that scenario, how would you propose to bill Verizon? And I think you may have touched on it, various of the three, in your testimony. But would you base it on the trunk group that it came over to you, if it came over a local trunk group, you would bill them? If it was a comp and it came over an access --

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	MR. HARABURDA: Actually we do a
2	screening. Per our tariffs we do call-by-call-based
3	billing within carrier access billing. What we do
4	is we have the same rules that Verizon uses to
5	determine local jurisdictions of a call, and we use
6	that same language and logic in our billing stream.
7	MR. LERNER: How are you going to know
8	that if you don't have the any of the data that
9	we've been talking about?
10	MR. HARABURDA: That's a correct
11	statement. I believe our federal tariff takes care
12	of that scenario, where if we can't determine
13	jurisdiction we have repercussions.
14	MS. NATOLI: Is that where you do the POU
15	and PIU and you determine it based on the percentage
16	that is agreed upon of what allocation of
17	unidentified traffic is local or access?
18	MR. HARABURDA: Exactly, the 95-5
19	referenced in the interconnection, right, the 95
20	percent rule.
21	MS. NATOLI: I'm not familiar with that
22	rule. That may very well be your PIU that's your

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

percentage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LERNER: Mr. Whitt?

MR. WHITT: Yes, I think our thought behind it is optimally we would like to bill call by call for as much as we can. We feel like that's the most accurate way. I think Verizon chooses to pretty much factor with folks. But our thought was if you kind of have an error rate between zero and 5 percent, at that point you put a factor on it, but at some point you need to put a penalty on it, if Verizon is going to collect from someone who handed them the call, we feel at that point if they're going to have an error above 5 percent, we should be able to charge an access rate, which is in effect some sort of a penalty. But we try to do call by call as much as we can, but we recognize we can't do that at all times, because the data is not there.

The other issue we run into quite frankly on the meet point tapes, there's a lot of talk about that's basically access only calls. We're not seeing that. We're seeing high volumes of local calls come through, because we screen those calls.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

What we've noticed I guess with our interactions with other CLECs and other carriers, a lot of times folks bill us basically on the Verizon meet point tapes, they charge us pure access on all the calls that come through. So we'll get a bill from another CLEC that will basically have no local and all access.

When we request the call detail records, it becomes evident at that point that there are, in fact, local calls and access, which is exactly what we see on our meet point tapes. And that's why we screen our tapes, because they mix -- the trunks somehow get mixed and we get overflow of local calls coming on the meet point tapes. We try to do the best we can, but there's all sorts of scenarios where you have -- I think we mentioned in our testimony that you have millions of calls that come through with the same to and from number, and I think that's the case probably where Verizon sees a from number and they populate the to number as the from number. But in that case you really have no way to base whether the call is local or access at

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

that point.

And then I think Mr. Smith also mentioned a case where I think we cited some instances with another CLEC where we're seeing the charge number come through, which in effect we're seeing on the SS7 records no calling party number, but when we see the meet point, it comes through with the charge number.

So it's kind of a hodgepodge, but we do the best we can.

MR. HARABURDA: Side note to that last issue Mr. Whitt said is the repercussions of that case is because a fictitious calling party number is being put into the call record on the meet point tape, we do our jurisdictional lookup of that record, and magically it appears that it's a local call because it's a local rate center calling a local rate center per the Verizon tariffs. We rate that as local, which you know what the rates are for local compared to an interstate or intrastate call. So that's a revenue impact to us that we're underbilling.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

MR. KOERNER: If an upstream carrier is disguising the nature of its traffic and not sending to Verizon the information that it needs to pass on to you -- and Mr. Smith characterized it I think somewhat as an industrywide problem -- why do you believe Verizon should pay the penalty for that other carrier's behavior inasmuch as you have this 95-5 split, where Verizon would pay you at a higher rate for calls that lack that information?

MR. WHITT: I mean, there's another option besides the penalty phase. I mean, our other option, I think, is you block the call simply. I mean, in our thoughts we feel like you're either going to have to help compensate us if you've been compensated, or if the call doesn't have what's required, then block the call and -- that, in effect, will require folks to put the proper data on call. If they -- so they can't complete calls. I mean, they're obviously trying to fraudulently get around the system. That's the problem when you try --

MR. LERNER: Wouldn't you have the option

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

of blocking the call, then, if you felt that was appropriate?

MR. HARABURDA: Seemingly it doesn't include CICs if it's coming to us, so we don't know that we're missing the data.

MR. LERNER: Why not --

MR. HARABURDA: It's not required to send data to our switches that contain carrier identification code in the signal. That's not a required field per the OBF, and I think Mr. Cole can comment on that.

MR. COLE: You asked the question that -I think -- would you re-ask that question, please?
Because you said something there that I questioned.
You said that when an upstream carrier sends traffic and attempts to hide the nature of it, to Verizon, how would -- would we expect to be paid for that traffic. And --

MR. LERNER: It wasn't whether you expect to be paid. It was whether you should charge Verizon a penalty, in essence, for you terminating the traffic because when they got it, it was missing

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

this information.

MR. COLE: From an interexchange perspective, Verizon would know every carrier that's sending them traffic. So -- but we wouldn't. The scenario that we talked about in C3, where the call -- I mean, IXCs don't dip a lot and there are a lot of originating carriers out there that don't dip to start with. So the IXC doesn't know the LRN, or the routing number.

So when it hits that Verizon end office and the customer has been ported to Cavalier, they're going to dip and send it to us. We're going to -- you know, we wouldn't know; they would. And they would get compensated for that call.

So I guess that's one scenario. Now, the other --

MR. KOERNER: If I may interrupt for a second. There's something I don't understand about that. How is it that Verizon would know who the carrier is but you would not? What is it that Verizon is not passing along to you?

MR. WHITT: Mr. Smith just mentioned it.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

They know what trunk group these calls are coming over, from what carrier. We wouldn't necessarily know that.

MR. KOERNER: What they might not know is who beyond that immediate --

THE WITNESS: We get one big pot of calls coming to us from Verizon where they have these direct trunks with each carrier, I assume, CLEC, where they're interconnected. They would know the pipes these things are coming down, but we get one big basket of these calls. We couldn't really tell at that point who they're coming from. Where they could see it where it's coming from on the front end before they aggregate it and give them all to us.

MR. COLE: Because Verizon has the direct trunking to those other carriers and will always bill that last carrier, but even in an intraLATA call scenario, as in Mr. Smith's testimony, they would still know who that carrier was and would still bill them, and we may not. I guess I question -- my concern is that there's a perception that these carriers are trying to cover up what

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	they're sending by not including a billing a
2	piece of billing information when the physical
3	I'm an architecture guy, the physical architecture
4	says they know where every call came from and they
5	can bill back because they know.
6	MR. LERNER: "They" meaning?
7	MR. COLE: Verizon.
8	MR. LERNER: They may know that it came
9	from, using an example, AT&T, but they may not know
10	whether it was interstate or intrastate.
11	MR. COLE: That's correct.
12	MR. LERNER: If the information is
13	missing; right? All they will be able to know is
14	yes, we know it came from AT&T, but where
15	MS. SHETLER: But you're not getting that
16	it came from AT&T is that correct?
17	MR. WHITT: That's correct.
18	MR. LERNER: They may know it came from
19	AT&T over an access trunk group and you're not going
20	to know that.
21	MR. WHITT: You would think they would
22	have to know that because they would need to know

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

how to bill AT&T, whether it's recip comp, if it's local AT&T customer, or access, access versus local.

I would think they keep the trunks separate.

MR. LERNER: That also doesn't mean that carriers are hypothetically sending access traffic over local trunk groups or vice versa.

MR. HARABURDA: That's why we're doing the screening.

MS. NATOLI: When you do the screening, what do you do to tell? You actually look at your call records and you know what -- you obviously know your local numbers.

MR. HARABURDA: Exactly. We do a LERG lockup to understand from the LRN, the calling party number and called number, various combinations and escalations of where the call is coming from, where it's going to. We have an identification in LERG 6 that tells me what rate center this is coming from. We match the Verizon tariffs to say well, this rate center to this one is local, but this one to this one is not local, therefore it's a toll. Therefore we make the determination, this is one state and the

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

same state, intrastate. Or a different state to a different state, interstate. Fairly simple, when we have the data.

up, where it confuses the issue, and the example he mentioned before with the call coming into the end office, the dip going in, coming to us on the end office trunk, we don't see the CIC, we don't know it's an access call, we bill to Verizon and then the number that we see on that call is probably going to be the trunk on the end office where Verizon got it, which means we look at that, call it a local call and bill to Verizon as local, when that call should be an access call.

I've pulled a couple call scenarios for the meet point billing tape to expound on this and show some descriptions of that.

MS. NATOLI: Can I just ask Mr. Smith a question in that regard? In that scenario, I just want to understand, then, Verizon is charging the IXC that it's doing the dip for the entire access charge rate that would govern, whereas if you

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

weren't doing the LNP dip and you were sending that call straight through your normal meet point billing arrangement to Cavalier's customer directly first, without doing the dip and going, you know, rerouting it, then the two of you share, under your meet point billing arrangement, for access traffic the billing of the access charge to that IXC.

But in any scenario, Verizon is still able to collect some or all access charges, for all long-distance calls.

MR. SMITH: For the calls coming in, right. In the scenario we're talking about, we would actually be paying Cavalier the reciprocal compensation charge, which is less.

MS. NATOLI: Yes.

MR. SMITH: I don't think those instances are a preponderance of the calls. The other thing is, we do pass -- we're getting I think a little off track with -- we do pass in all the records, they said virtually all the records, OCN or CIC, which allows them to identify the carrier that should be billed, okay. The guestion that I think comes up

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

more often than not is whether that call is an access call, a local call or an interstate access call. And those can be identified through the use of factors.

But who to bill, okay, that's why we create the meet point billing records, because under the OBF feed lines, it is the first point of switching, which is in most cases our tandem, where the record is to be cut, and that is where we generate the meet point billing type record or the tandem transit record and provide that to Cavalier, so they will know who to bill.

MR. HARABURDA: Again the comment is that the meet point billing tape is the one that would contain the CIC, the end office trunking ones collected off our own switch never collect the CIC because that's not needed to be sent. So the issue is we have calls where we -- the concept is two issues. Ownership, jurisdiction. If we find ownership, we can fight with that carrier and fight with them to get closure on the issue. But if it's -- we don't identify the ownership, we have no

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1 other recourse but to go back to Verizon.

MS. SHETLER: I just have a question because I'm confused. It sounds like two different things are being said. Is Verizon delivering the CIC code for these calls that come over the local trunk groups?

MR. HARABURDA: We do not see it on our switch records.

MR. MILLER: Do you see it as part of the MPR?

MR. HARABURDA: It's not part of the meet point billing tape. That should be the access traffic that comes from Verizon. You give us the CIC on that; right?

MR. SMITH: We provide two types -- there are two types of traffic we've been talking about all morning. There is the meet point billing access calls from interexchange carrier. For that we pass the CIC because we get it off of the trunk group that we see delivering the traffic to us. Then there is transit traffic, which is for the other carriers who are not directly interconnected with

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Cavalier, and they transit our tandem. We create virtually identical records to meet point billing records for those carriers, off of that traffic.

And we send those along with the meet point billing records. So for all of the traffic that is transiting our network, if you will, both meet point billing, true meet point billing traffic, and tandem transit traffic, we are delivering 11-01-01 records to Cavalier that contain either the CIC of the interexchange carrier for the OCN of the CLEC or if it's a wireless carrier that's interconnected that way, all of that information is provided on those tapes.

So Cavalier has all of the identification of who to bill.

MS. SHETLER: So if there is a call that comes -- that is this IXC that does not provide -does not do LNP dips, when that call is -- and you populate it with your own originating -- the trunk group or switch number, you populate the originating calling number if there isn't one that comes with it, you would provide the CIC code with that?

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.